

A GENERALIZATION OF EXTENDED AFFINE LIE ALGEBRAS

Malihe Yousofzadeh¹

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new class of possibly infinite dimensional Lie algebras and study their structural properties. Examples of this new class of Lie algebras are finite dimensional simple Lie algebras containing a nonzero split torus, affine and extended affine Lie algebras. Our results generalize well-known properties of these examples.

0. INTRODUCTION

In 1985, Saito [Sa] introduced the notion of an *extended affine root system* which is a nonempty subset R of a finite dimensional real vector space V , equipped with a positive semidefinite bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$, satisfying certain axioms. Following [Az2], we call them *Saito's extended affine root systems* or SEARSs for short. In 1990, Høegh-Krohn and Torresani [H-KT] introduced a new class of Lie algebras over the field of complex numbers. The basic features of these Lie algebras are the existence of a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form, a finite dimensional Cartan subalgebra, a discrete root system and the ad-nilpotency of the root spaces attached to non-isotropic roots. In 1997, Allison, Azam, Berman, Gao and Pianzola [AABGP] called these Lie algebras *extended affine Lie algebras* (or EALAs for short). The subalgebra of an EALA generated by its non-isotropic root spaces, called the *core*, plays a very important role in the study of EALAs. Namely, up to the choice of a certain derivation and a 2-cocycle, an EALA is determined by its core modulo center, called the *centerless core* [N1,2]. In [BGKN], [BGK], [AG] and [Yo1], the authors give a complete description of the centerless cores of reduced extended affine Lie algebras (see also [Az1]).

In [AABGP], the authors take the properties of the root system of an EALA as axioms for the new notion of an *extended affine root systems* or EARS for short. The non-isotropic roots of an EARS form a SEARS, but not all SEARS arise in this way. In fact, there is a one to one correspondence between reduced SEARS and non-isotropic parts of EARSs [Az2].

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran, P. O. Box 81746-73441, E-mail: ma.yousofzadeh@math.ui.ac.ir

There had been several attempts to construct classes of Lie algebras with SEARSs as their root systems [Sa, Ya1, SaY, Ya2]. But the sets of non-isotropic roots corresponding to all such constructed Lie algebras are reduced.

In 2004, Yoshii [Yo2] introduced the notion of a (Δ, G) -graded Lie algebra, Δ a finite irreducible root system and G an abelian group. These provide examples of Lie algebras with not necessarily reduced SEARSs as their root systems. Also in [AKY], the authors axiomatically introduced a class of possibly infinite dimensional Lie algebras over a field of characteristic zero called *toral type extended affine Lie algebras*. The non-isotropic roots of a toral type extended affine Lie algebra form a not necessarily reduced SEARS. Roughly speaking, they replace the existence of a Cartan subalgebra in the axioms of an EALA with the existence of a nonzero split torus. This then allows the existence of divisible roots for the corresponding root systems.

We introduce a class $\mathcal{T}$ of Lie algebras whose axioms are a modified version of axioms introduced in [AKY]. The main purpose of this work is to study the Lie algebras in the class $\mathcal{T}$ along the lines one has studied EALAs. In Section 1, we introduce the axioms for the Lie algebras in $\mathcal{T}$ and investigate the basic properties of the algebras. In Section 2, we study the core $\mathcal{L}_c$ of a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$. We prove that $\mathcal{L}_c$ is a (Δ, G) -graded Lie algebra. We also describe a *loop* construction of Lie algebras in $\mathcal{T}$ which satisfy a *division* property (see subsection 2.2). We conclude the section with an example of a Lie algebra in $\mathcal{T}$ of type C and of arbitrary nullity, with non-isotropic root spaces having dimension greater than 1, a phenomenon which does not happen for EALAs. In Section 3, we study the elements in $\mathcal{T}$ of nullity zero. We find a necessary and sufficient condition for a Lie algebra to be an element of $\mathcal{T}$ of nullity zero. We also show that the class $\mathcal{T}$ is a generalization of the class of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras containing a nonzero split torus. In the appendix we recall the definition of a toral type extended affine Lie algebra. We prove that a Lie algebra in $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the axioms of a toral type extended affine Lie algebra.

1. A NEW CLASS OF INFINITE DIMENSIONAL LIE ALGEBRAS

Throughout this work $\mathbb{F}$ is a field of characteristic zero. Unless otherwise mentioned, all vector spaces are considered over $\mathbb{F}$. In the present paper, we denote the dual space of a vector space V by V^* . If a finite dimensional vector space V is equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form, then for $\alpha \in V^*$, we take t_α to be the unique element in V representing α through the form. Also for an algebra $\mathcal{A}$, $Z(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the center of $\mathcal{A}$. We refer to a finite root system as a subset Δ of a vector space so that $0 \in \Delta$ and $\Delta \setminus \{0\}$ is a finite root system in the sense of [Bo]. For a finite root system Δ , we set $\Delta^\times := \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ and $\Delta_{red} := \{0\} \cup \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \alpha/2 \notin \Delta\}$.

Also we make the convention that for a finite root system all roots are short if only one root length occurs.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lie algebra. A nontrivial subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is called a *split toral subalgebra* or a *split torus* if

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*} \mathcal{L}_\alpha, \text{ where } \mathcal{L}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

One can see that a split toral subalgebra is abelian.

As all toral subalgebras [Se, §I.1] occurring in this paper are split, in the sequel, in place of saying split toral subalgebra, we say toral subalgebra.

Now let $\mathcal{H}$ be a finite dimensional toral subalgebra of a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ such that the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ restricted to $\mathcal{H}$ is non-degenerate. Then the Jacobi identity implies that $[\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\alpha+\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}^*$ and the invariance of the form implies that for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}^*$,

$$(1.2) \quad (\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta) = 0 \quad \text{unless } \alpha + \beta = 0.$$

We call $R := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^* \mid \mathcal{L}_\alpha \neq \{0\}\}$, the root system of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$. We will transfer the form from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}^*$ by requiring $(\alpha, \beta) := (t_\alpha, t_\beta)$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}^*$. This allows us to define

$$R^\times := \{\alpha \in R \mid (\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad R^0 := \{\alpha \in R \mid (\alpha, \alpha) = 0\}.$$

The elements of $R^\times$ and of R^0 are called *non-isotropic* and *isotropic* roots of R .

Definition 1.3. The subalgebra $\mathcal{L}_c$ of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by the root spaces $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in R^\times$, is called the *core* of $\mathcal{L}$. Following [AY, §5], we call $\mathcal{L}_{cc} := \mathcal{L}_c / Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$ the *centerless core* of $\mathcal{L}$. The algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is called *tame* if $\mathcal{L}_c$ contains its centralizer in $\mathcal{L}$, namely $C_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_c) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_c$.

It follows from the finite dimensionality of $\mathcal{H}$ and the non-degeneracy of the form on $\mathcal{H}$ and on $\mathcal{L}_0$ that $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^\perp$, where $\mathcal{H}^\perp = \{x \in \mathcal{L}_0 \mid (h, x) = 0 \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}\}$. So for $\alpha \in R$, $x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$, we have $[x, y] = t_{x,y} + h_{x,y}$ for some $t_{x,y} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $h_{x,y} \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$. Put

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_\alpha := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{h_{x,y} \mid x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha, y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}\}, \quad \alpha \in R.$$

Now let $\alpha \in R$, $x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (h, t_{x,y}) &= (h, [x, y]) = ([h, x], y) = \alpha(h)(x, y) &= (t_\alpha, h)(x, y) \\ & &= ((x, y)t_\alpha, h). \end{aligned}$$

Since the form is symmetric and non-degenerate on $\mathcal{H}$, we conclude that $t_{x,y} = (x, y)t_\alpha$. So

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} [x, y] &= (x, y)t_\alpha + h_{x,y}; \quad \alpha \in R, \quad x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha, \quad y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \\ \text{if } 0 \neq \alpha, \quad x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha, \quad y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad [x, y] &= t_\alpha, \text{ then } (x, y) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.6. We denote by $\mathcal{T}$ the class of all triples $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H})$ satisfying the following six axioms:

(T1) $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{F}$ equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

(T2) $\mathcal{H}$ is a finite dimensional toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}}$ is non-degenerate. Let R be the root system of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$.

(T3) For $\delta \in R^0$, there exist $x \in \mathcal{L}_\delta$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\delta}$ such that $[x, y] = t_\delta$. Also for each $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, there exists $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$ such that $[x, y] = t_\alpha$.

We point out that the conditions on $R^\times$ and on R^0 are not symmetric. More precisely (T3) requires that every $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in R^\times$, is part of an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple while for $\alpha \in R^0$ only the existence of certain elements is required.

(T4) If $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, then $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{L}} x_\alpha$ acts locally nilpotently on $\mathcal{L}$.

(T5) R is irreducible, in the sense that it satisfies the following two conditions:

(a) $R^\times$ cannot be written as a disjoint union of two orthogonal nonempty subsets of $R^\times$.

(b) For $\delta \in R^0$ there exists $\alpha \in R^\times$ such that $\alpha + \delta \in R$.

(T6) $\Lambda := \langle R^0 \rangle = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R^0)$ is a free abelian group of finite rank (we consider $\{0\}$ as a free abelian group of rank 0).

When $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are fixed, we denote a triple $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H}) \in \mathcal{T}$ by $\mathcal{L}$. For $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ with root system R , the rank of $\Lambda = \langle R^0 \rangle$ is called the *nullity* of $\mathcal{L}$.

Axioms (T1) – (T6) are a modified version of axioms of the class of *toral type extended affine Lie algebras* introduced in [AKY].

Now let $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H})$ satisfy (T1) – (T4). Then (1.5) together with axiom (T3) gives:

$$(1.7) \quad [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] = \mathbb{F}t_\alpha \oplus \mathcal{H}_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in R$$

For $\alpha \in R^\times$, set $h_\alpha := 2t_\alpha/(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)$. Then by (T3), there exist $e_{\pm\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm\alpha}$ such that $(e_\alpha, h_\alpha, e_{-\alpha})$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple, that is $[h_\alpha, e_\alpha] = 2e_\alpha$, $[h_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] = -2e_{-\alpha}$ and $h_\alpha = [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}]$. Now let $\alpha \in R^\times$. The reflections $w_\alpha \in GL(\mathcal{H}^*)$ and $\check{w}_\alpha \in GL(\mathcal{H})$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} w_\alpha(\beta) &= \beta - \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha, \quad \beta \in \mathcal{H}^* \\ \check{w}_\alpha(h) &= h - \frac{2(h, t_\alpha)}{(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)}t_\alpha, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (T4), for $t \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define an automorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ by $\exp(\text{ad} te_\alpha) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((\text{ad} te_\alpha)^k / k!)$. So for $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $t \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\theta_\alpha(t) := \exp(\text{ad}(te_\alpha))\exp(\text{ad}(-t^{-1}e_{-\alpha}))\exp(\text{ad}(te_\alpha)) \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}).$$

One can easily check that for $\alpha \in R^\times$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(1.8) \quad \theta_\alpha(t)(h) = \check{w}_\alpha(h).$$

Proposition 1.9. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ satisfy (T1) – (T4). Then for $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $\beta \in R$, we have*

- (i) $\theta_\alpha(t)(\mathcal{L}_\beta) = \mathcal{L}_{w_\alpha(\beta)}$.
- (ii) $2(\beta, \alpha)/(\alpha, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (iii) $\dim(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) = \dim(\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha})$.

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) can be seen using the same arguments as in [MP, Proposition 4.1.4] and [AABGP, Proposition I.1.29] respectively.

(iii) By part (i), we have $\theta_\alpha(1)(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) = \mathcal{L}_{w_\alpha(\alpha)} = \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$. So $\dim(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) = \dim(\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha})$. $\square$

For the further study of the algebras in $\mathcal{T}$, we need to assume one more axiom. Let $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H})$ satisfy (T1) – (T5). Since $0 \in R^0$, (T5)(b) implies that $R^\times \neq \emptyset$. So there exist $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $k \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $k(\alpha, \alpha) = 1$. By rescaling the form if necessary, we may assume that there exists $\alpha \in R^\times$ such that $(\alpha, \alpha) = 1$. Then by Proposition 1.9(ii) and (T5)(a), we get

$$(1.10) \quad (\gamma, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{for all } \beta \in R, \gamma \in R^\times.$$

In the following proposition, we record several important consequences of axioms (T1) – (T5).

Proposition 1.11. *Let L satisfy (T1) – (T5). Then*

- (i) $(R, R^0) = \{0\}$.
- (ii) $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in R$.
- (iii) For $\alpha \in R$, we have $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_c$. Moreover $t_\delta \in Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$ for all $\delta \in R^0$.
- (iv) For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R^\times$ with $\beta + \gamma \neq 0$, we have $\mathcal{H}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{L}_c$ and $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta) = 0 = (\mathcal{H}_\alpha, [\mathcal{L}_\beta, \mathcal{L}_\gamma])$.
- (v) For $\beta \in R$ and $\alpha \in R^\times$, there exist $d, u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $d - u = 2(\beta, \alpha)/(\alpha, \alpha)$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta + n\alpha \in R$ if and only if $-d \leq n \leq u$.
- (vi) For $\beta \in R$ and $\alpha \in R^\times$, $-4 \leq \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \leq 4$.
- (vii) $\mathcal{L}_c$ is a perfect ideal of $\mathcal{L}$.
- (viii) $Z(\mathcal{L}_c) = \text{rad}(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathcal{L}_c \times \mathcal{L}_c}$ and $\mathcal{L}_c^\perp = C_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_c)$ where $\mathcal{L}_c^\perp := \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid (x, \mathcal{L}_c) = 0\}$.
- (ix) $Z(\mathcal{L}_c) \subseteq \bigoplus_{\delta \in R^0} \mathcal{L}_\delta$.
- (x) $\mathcal{L}$ is tame if and only if $\mathcal{L}_c^\perp = Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$.

Proof. (i) We first prove $(R^\times, R^0) = \{0\}$. Suppose to the contrary that $\alpha \in R^\times$, $\delta \in R^0$ and $(\alpha, \delta) \neq 0$. Since (T3) holds, one can use the same argument as in the proof of [AABGP, Lemma I.1.30] to show that $\alpha + n\delta \in R$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. But at most for one n , $\alpha + n\delta \in R^0$. So by (1.10) and Proposition 1.9, $(\delta, \alpha + n\delta), (\alpha + n\delta, \alpha + n\delta) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $2(\delta, \alpha + n\delta)/(\alpha + n\delta, \alpha + n\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is impossible. Thus $(R^\times, R^0) = \{0\}$. Now using this and (T5)(b), it follows easily that $(R^0, R^0) = \{0\}$.

(ii) Immediately follows from part (i) and (1.10).

(iii) By (T3), we have $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_c$ for $\alpha \in R^\times$. Now if $\delta \in R^0$, then by (T5)(b) and part (i) there exists $\alpha \in R^\times$ such that $\alpha + \delta \in R^\times$. So we have $t_\alpha + t_\delta = t_{\alpha+\delta} \in \mathcal{L}_c$. But $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_c$ and so $t_\delta \in \mathcal{L}_c$. The last statement follows from part (i).

(iv) Let $x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$. Using (1.5), we have $[x, y] = (x, y)t_\alpha + h_{x,y}$ and so part (iii) gives that $h_{x,y} \in \mathcal{L}_c$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{h_{x,y} \mid x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha, y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_c$. For the second statement note that $h_{x,y} \in \mathcal{L}_0$, so for $b \in \mathcal{L}_\beta$, we have

$$(h_{x,y}, b) = (h_{x,y}, \frac{1}{2}[h_\beta, b]) = \frac{1}{2}([h_{x,y}, h_\beta], b) = \frac{1}{2}(0, b) = 0.$$

Thus $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta) = 0$. Now suppose $x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$, $a \in \mathcal{L}_\beta$ and $b \in \mathcal{L}_\gamma$. Using (1.2), we have

$$(h_{x,y}, [a, b]) = ([h_{x,y}, a], b) \in (\mathcal{L}_\beta, \mathcal{L}_\gamma) = 0.$$

In other words $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, [\mathcal{L}_\beta, \mathcal{L}_\gamma]) = 0$.

(v) See [AKY, Proposition 1.7(a)].

(vi) Since we have already assumed $(\alpha, \alpha) = 1$ for some $\alpha \in R^\times$, we have $(\gamma, \gamma) > 0$ for all $\gamma \in R^\times$ [AKY, Proposition 1.8]. Now use the same argument as in [AABGP, Lemma I.2.6].

(vii) We know that $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathcal{L}_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in R^\times} \mathcal{L}_\alpha \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R^0} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. So we have

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{L}_c = \sum_{\alpha \in R^\times} \mathcal{L}_\alpha \oplus \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in R^\times \\ \alpha + \beta \in R^0}} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta].$$

Now using part (iii), we are done.

(viii) One can see this, using the same argument as in [BGK, Lemma 3.6].

(ix) It follows from (1.12) that

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{L}_c = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} (\mathcal{L}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{L}_c) \quad \text{and} \quad Z(\mathcal{L}_c) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} (Z(\mathcal{L}_c) \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha).$$

Now let $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $x \in Z(\mathcal{L}_c) \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, then part (i) together with (1.2) gives that $(x, \mathcal{L}_\beta) = 0$ for all $\beta \in R$. So the non-degeneracy of the form implies that $x = 0$. Using (1.13), we have $Z(\mathcal{L}_c) \subseteq \bigoplus_{\delta \in R^0} \mathcal{L}_\delta$.

(x) This follows from part (viii). $\square$

Now for $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$, denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the $\mathbb{Q}$ -span of the root system R of $\mathcal{L}$ and set $\mathcal{V} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Using Proposition 1.11(ii), one can get, in a natural way, an induced real valued form on $\mathcal{V}$ denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Now identify $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a subset of $\mathcal{V}$. In the appendix we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.14. *Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ with root system R . Then $(R, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{R}})$ is an extended affine root system in $\mathcal{V}$ in the sense of [AKY] (see the Appendix).*

2. THE STRUCTURE OF $\mathcal{L}_c$

In 1992, Berman and Moody [BM] introduced the class of Δ -graded Lie algebras. They classified the Lie algebras graded by irreducible simply-laced finite root systems of rank ≥ 2 , i.e. root systems of type $A_\ell (\ell \geq 2)$, $D_\ell (\ell \geq 4)$, E_6 , E_7 , E_8 . In 1996, Benkart and Zelmanov [BZ] did the classification for the remaining reduced types namely for types A_1 , B_ℓ , C_ℓ , F_4 and G_2 . Finally, Allison, Benkart and Gao generalized the concept of Δ -graded Lie

algebras to non-reduced types [ABG]. A Lie algebra graded by an irreducible finite root system Δ consists of a finite dimensional split simple Lie algebra which is of type Δ if Δ is reduced and of type B, C or D if Δ is non-reduced. In [Yo2], Yoshii considered Δ -graded Lie algebras whose corresponding finite dimensional split simple Lie subalgebras are of type Δ_{red} . Yoshii's concept comes from the theory of EALAs, namely, the core of an EALA is a Δ -graded Lie algebra in the sense of Yoshii [Az1, AG]. Yoshii also introduced (Δ, G) -graded Lie algebras, Δ an irreducible finite root system and G an abelian group [Yo2]. In this section we prove that the core of an element in $\mathcal{T}$ is a (Δ, G) -graded Lie algebra for an irreducible finite root system Δ and a free abelian group G . Similar to [AABGP, Chapter III, §1], we also give a general construction of elements in $\mathcal{T}$ which satisfy a *division property*, starting from a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ satisfying certain properties. In fact we prove that if $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfies this division property, then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$. Throughout this section Δ is an irreducible finite root system and G is an abelian group.

2.1. (Δ, G) -graded Lie algebras. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional split simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{F}$ with a splitting Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and root system Δ_{red} so that $\mathfrak{g}$ has a root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta_{red}} \mathfrak{g}_\mu$ with $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ be as above. A Δ -graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ with grading pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a Lie algebra satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{L}$ contains $\mathfrak{g}$ as a subalgebra,
- (ii) $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta} \mathcal{L}_\mu$, where $\mathcal{L}_\mu = \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid [h, x] = \mu(h)x \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{\mu \in \Delta^\times} [\mathcal{L}_\mu, \mathcal{L}_{-\mu}]$.

Definition 2.2. A Δ -graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta} \mathcal{L}_\mu$ with grading pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is called (Δ, G) -graded if $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}^g$ is a G -graded Lie algebra such that $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^0$ and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}) := \{g \in G \mid \mathcal{L}^g \neq \{0\}\}$ generates G . Since $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^0$, $\mathcal{L}^g$ is an $\mathfrak{h}$ -module for $g \in G$ and by [MP, Proposition 2.1] we have $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta} \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}_\mu^g$ where $\mathcal{L}_\mu^g := \mathcal{L}^g \cap \mathcal{L}_\mu$ for $g \in G$ and $\mu \in \Delta$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta} \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}_\mu^g$ be a (Δ, G) -graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. $\mathcal{L}$ is called a *division (Δ, G) -graded Lie algebra* if for each $\mu \in \Delta^\times$, $g \in G$ and $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L}_\mu^g$, there exists $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\mu}^{-g}$ such that $[x, y] = t_\mu \pmod{Z(\mathcal{L})}$. A division $(\Delta, \mathbb{Z}^n)$ -graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{L}_\mu^\sigma) \leq 1$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mu \in \Delta^\times$ is called a *Lie n -torus* or simply a *Lie torus*.

Now let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ with root system R and nullity ν , then by Theorem 1.14, $(R, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{R}})$ is an extended affine root system in $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Take $\mathcal{V}^0$ to be the radical of the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\bar{\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}^0$ and $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{V}}$ to be the canonical map. By [AKY, §2], the image $\bar{R}$ of R under $\bar{\cdot}$, is an irreducible finite root system in $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$. We fix a choice of a fundamental system $\{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_\ell\}$ for $\bar{R}$. Also for each i , we fix a preimage $\dot{\alpha}_i$ in R of $\bar{\alpha}_i$ under $\bar{\cdot}$. Then there exists an irreducible finite root system $\dot{R}$ with base $\{\dot{\alpha}_1, \dots, \dot{\alpha}_\ell\}$

in $\dot{\mathcal{V}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\dot{\alpha}_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ such that $\dot{R}$ is isomorphic to $\bar{R}$ and $\dot{R}_{red} \subseteq R$. Also $\mathcal{V} = \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{V}^0$ and $R^0 = R \cap \mathcal{V}^0$. The *rank* and the *type* of $\mathcal{L}$ is defined to be the rank and the type of $\dot{R}$. Let $\dot{R}_{sh}$, $\dot{R}_{lg}$ and $\dot{R}_{ex}$ be the set of short, long and extra long roots of $\dot{R}$, respectively. Set

$$S := \{\delta \in \mathcal{V}^0 \mid \delta + \dot{R}_{sh} \subseteq R\}, \quad L := \{\delta \in \mathcal{V}^0 \mid \delta + \dot{R}_{lg} \subseteq R\}, \text{ if } \dot{R}_{lg} \neq \emptyset, \\ \text{and } E := \{\delta \in \mathcal{V}^0 \mid \delta + \dot{R}_{ex} \subseteq R\}, \text{ if } \dot{R}_{ex} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then S, L are semilattices in $\mathcal{V}^0$ and E is a translated semilattice in $\mathcal{V}^0$ (see [AABGP, Chapter II] for the terminology). Choose a fixed subset $\{\delta_j\}_{j=1}^{\nu}$ of S such that $\mathcal{V}^0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \mathbb{R}\delta_j$ [AABGP, Proposition II.1.11]. Now set $\dot{\mathcal{H}} := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{F}t_{\dot{\alpha}_i}$ and $\mathcal{H}^0 := \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \mathbb{F}t_{\delta_j}$. By Proposition 1.11(iii), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{H}^0 \subseteq Z(\mathcal{L}_c) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_c.$$

If $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{red} \subset R^\times$, then by (T3) there exist $e_{\pm\dot{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm\dot{\alpha}}$ such that $(e_{\dot{\alpha}}, h_{\dot{\alpha}}, e_{-\dot{\alpha}})$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. Also we know that for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $\dot{\alpha}_i \in \dot{R}_{red} \subseteq R^\times$, so we can define

$$(2.5) \quad \dot{\mathcal{G}} := \text{subalgebra of } \mathcal{L}_c \text{ generated by } \{e_{\pm\dot{\alpha}_i}\}_{i=1}^{\ell}.$$

For $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$, put $c_{i,j} := \dot{\alpha}_j(h_{\dot{\alpha}_i})$. Since by axiom (R4) (see Appendix, Definition 4.1), $\dot{\alpha}_j + (-c_{i,j} + 1)\dot{\alpha}_i \notin R$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$, the following relations are satisfied in $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$:

$$(2.6) \quad [h_{\dot{\alpha}_i}, h_{\dot{\alpha}_j}] = 0, [h_{\dot{\alpha}_i}, e_{\dot{\alpha}_j}] = c_{i,j}e_{\dot{\alpha}_i}, [h_{\dot{\alpha}_i}, e_{-\dot{\alpha}_j}] = -c_{i,j}e_{-\dot{\alpha}_i}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell \\ [e_{\dot{\alpha}_i}, e_{-\dot{\alpha}_j}] = \delta_{i,j}h_{\dot{\alpha}_i}, \quad \theta_{i,j}^+ = 0, \quad \theta_{i,j}^- = 0,$$

where $\theta_{i,j}^+ = (\text{ade}_{\dot{\alpha}_i})^{-c_{i,j}+1}(e_{\dot{\alpha}_j})$ and $\theta_{i,j}^- = (\text{ade}_{-\dot{\alpha}_i})^{-c_{i,j}+1}(e_{-\dot{\alpha}_j})$. Set $C := (c_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq \ell}$, then C is the Cartan matrix corresponding to $\dot{R}_{red}$ and by Serre's Theorem (see e.g. [MP, Proposition 4.3.3, Theorem 4.6.4]) $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ is a finite dimensional split simple Lie algebra. Moreover $\{h_{\dot{\alpha}_i}\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ is a linearly independent subset of $\mathcal{H}$ which forms a basis for a splitting Cartan subalgebra of $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$. Therefore we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7. *$\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ is a finite dimensional split simple subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_c$ with root system $\dot{R}_{red}$ and splitting Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{F}h_{\dot{\alpha}_i}$.*

With the same notations as before, the following lemma holds:

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$, then the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ restricted to $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$ is non-degenerate.*

Proof. Let $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{red}$. By Theorem 2.7, $\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\dot{\alpha}}$. Also, it is known from the finite dimensional theory that $0 \neq [\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \dot{\mathcal{G}}_{-\dot{\alpha}}] \subseteq \dot{\mathcal{H}}$. So (1.5) together with the fact that $\dim(\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{\dot{\alpha}}) = \dim(\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{-\dot{\alpha}}) = 1$ implies that there exist $x \in \dot{\mathcal{G}}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $y \in \dot{\mathcal{G}}_{-\dot{\alpha}}$ so that $0 \neq [x, y] = (x, y)t_{\dot{\alpha}}$. This means that the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ restricted to $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ is nontrivial and so is non-degenerate [MP, Exercise 1.7]. Also since $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ is a finite dimensional split simple Lie algebra, it is central

simple. So the form on $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ is a non-zero scalar multiple of the Killing form. Therefore $(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\dot{\mathcal{H}} \times \dot{\mathcal{H}}}$ is non-degenerate. $\square$

Remark 2.9. Since $t_{\dot{\alpha}} \in \dot{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{F} t_{\dot{\alpha}_i}$ for all $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*$, the unique element in $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$ representing $\dot{\alpha}$ through the form restricted to $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$ is the same as the unique element in $\mathcal{H}$ representing $\dot{\alpha}$ through the form on $\mathcal{H}$.

Since $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$, $\mathcal{H}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and $(\dot{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^0, \mathcal{H}^0) = 0$, there exists a subspace D of $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim D &= \dim \mathcal{H}^0, \\ (\dot{\mathcal{H}} \oplus D, D) &= 0 \text{ and,} \\ \text{the form is non-degenerate on } \dot{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^0 \oplus D. \end{aligned}$$

Let W be the orthogonal complement on $\dot{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^0 \oplus D$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the form, then

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{H} = \dot{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^0 \oplus D \oplus W \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_0 = \dot{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^0 \oplus D \oplus W \oplus \mathcal{H}^\perp.$$

Lemma 2.12. *With the above notations we have*

- (i) $W \subseteq Z(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq C_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_c)$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}_c \cap \mathcal{H} = \dot{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^0$ and $Z(\mathcal{L}_c) \cap \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^0$.
- (iii) If $\mathcal{L}$ is tame, then $W = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $h \in W$ and $\alpha \in R$, then $(t_\alpha, h) \in (\dot{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^0, W) = 0$. So if $x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, then $[h, x] = \alpha(h)x = (t_\alpha, h)x = 0$ which implies $W \subseteq Z(\mathcal{L})$.

(ii) Let $d \in D, w \in W$ and $d + w \in \mathcal{L}_c$. By (i) and Proposition 1.11(viii), $(W, w) = (W, w + d) = \{0\}$, so $w \in \mathcal{H}^\perp \cap W = \{0\}$. This together with (1.5) and (2.11) implies that $d = 0$. Therefore $(D + W) \cap \mathcal{L}_c = \{0\}$ and then (2.4) implies that $\mathcal{L}_c \cap \mathcal{H} = \dot{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \mathcal{H}^0$. For the second statement, it is enough to show $\dot{\mathcal{H}} \cap Z(\mathcal{L}_c) = \{0\}$ as by (2.4), $\mathcal{H}^0 \subseteq Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$. If $x \in \dot{\mathcal{H}} \cap Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$, then Proposition 1.11(viii) implies that $(x, \mathcal{L}_c) = \{0\}$, hence we have $(x, \dot{\mathcal{H}}) = \{0\}$. But the form is non-degenerate on $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$, so $x = 0$.

(iii) If $\mathcal{L}$ is tame, then by part (i) and Proposition 1.11(viii), $W \subseteq C_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_c) = \mathcal{L}_c^\perp = Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$. Therefore $W \subseteq \mathcal{L}_c \cap \mathcal{L}_c^\perp$ and so $(W, W) = 0$. But by (2.11), the form on W is non-degenerate. Thus $W = 0$. $\square$

Consider (2.11), $\dot{\mathcal{H}}^*$ is imbedded in $\mathcal{H}^*$ by extending a linear form $\alpha \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}^*$ by zero on the other three summands, and similarly for $(\mathcal{H}^0)^*$, D^* , W^* . So we can identify

$$\mathcal{H}^* = \dot{\mathcal{H}}^* \oplus (\mathcal{H}^0)^* \oplus D^* \oplus W^*.$$

Contemplating (1.13), one can see that $\dot{\mathcal{H}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is an abelian subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ which is ad-diagonalizable on $\mathcal{L}_c$. So we have $\mathcal{L}_c = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*} (\mathcal{L}_c \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha) = \sum_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}^*} (\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\alpha}}$, where

$$(2.13) \quad (\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\alpha}} = \{x \in \mathcal{L}_c \mid [h, x] = \dot{\alpha}(h)x, h \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}\}; \quad \dot{\alpha} \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}^*.$$

Now let $\pi : \mathcal{H}^* \rightarrow \dot{\mathcal{H}}^*$ be the projection map. We have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.14. $\{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}^* \mid (\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\alpha}} \neq \{0\}\} = \pi(R) = \dot{R}$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in R$. Then $\gamma = \dot{\gamma} + \delta$ for some $\dot{\gamma} \in \dot{R}$ and $\delta \in R^0$ (see Appendix, (4.6) and Lemma 4.7). Then for $h \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}$, we have

$$(t_{\dot{\gamma}}, h) = (t_{\dot{\gamma}} + t_{\delta}, h) = (t_{\gamma}, h) = \gamma(h) = \pi(\gamma)(h).$$

But the form on $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$ is non-degenerate (Lemma 2.8), so $t_{\dot{\gamma}} = 0$ and consequently $\gamma = \dot{\gamma} + \delta = \delta \in R^0$. It means $\pi(\gamma) = 0$ if and only if $\gamma \in R^0$. Now we are done using this together with the fact that $(\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\beta}} = \sum_{\{\alpha \in R; \pi(\alpha) = \dot{\beta}\}} (\mathcal{L}_c \cap \mathcal{L}_{\alpha})$ for $\dot{\beta} \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}^*$. $\square$

We recall that $\Lambda = \langle R^0 \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a Λ -graded Lie algebra, namely

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{L} = \oplus_{\sigma \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}^{\sigma} \text{ where } \mathcal{L}^{\sigma} = \sum_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}} \mathcal{L}_{\dot{\alpha} + \sigma}, \sigma \in \Lambda.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_c$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by homogeneous elements with respect to this grading, $\mathcal{L}_c$ is a Λ -graded ideal and so

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{L}_c = \oplus_{\sigma \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_c^{\sigma} \text{ where } \mathcal{L}_c^{\sigma} = \mathcal{L}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{L}_c, \sigma \in \Lambda.$$

For $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}$ and $\tau \in \Lambda$ set $(\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\tau} := (\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\alpha}} \cap \mathcal{L}_c^{\tau}$. It is easy to see that

$$(2.17) \quad (\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\alpha}} = \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda} (\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\tau} \text{ and } (\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\beta}}^{\sigma} = \mathcal{L}_{\dot{\beta} + \sigma}^{\sigma}; \dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}, \dot{\beta} \in \dot{R}^{\times}, \sigma \in \Lambda.$$

Theorem 2.18. *Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_c$ is a division $(\dot{R}, \Lambda)$ -graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\dot{\mathcal{G}}, \dot{\mathcal{H}})$ where $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined as in (2.5).*

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ is a finite dimensional split simple subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_c$ with splitting Cartan subalgebra $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $e_{\pm \dot{\alpha}_i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm \dot{\alpha}_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $\dot{\mathcal{G}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ for all $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{red}$. So using (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$(2.19) \quad \dot{\mathcal{G}} = \oplus_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{red}} \dot{\mathcal{G}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \subseteq (\oplus_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{red}} \mathcal{L}_{\dot{\alpha} + 0}) \cap \mathcal{L}_c \subseteq \mathcal{L}_c^0.$$

Now (2.13) together with Lemma 2.14 implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_c = \oplus_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}} (\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\alpha}} \text{ where } (\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\alpha}} = \{x \in \mathcal{L}_c \mid [h, x] = \dot{\alpha}(h)x, h \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}\}.$$

Also using (1.12), one can easily see that

$$(2.20) \quad (\mathcal{L}_c)_0 = \sum_{\dot{\eta} \in \dot{R}^{\times}} [(\mathcal{L}_c)_{\dot{\eta}}, (\mathcal{L}_c)_{-\dot{\eta}}].$$

Therefore $\mathcal{L}_c$ is an $\dot{R}$ -graded Lie algebra. On the other hand $\mathcal{L}_c$ is a Λ -graded Lie algebra with $\dot{\mathcal{G}} \subset \mathcal{L}_c^0$ and such that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}_c) = R^0$. Thus $\mathcal{L}_c$ is an $(\dot{R}, \Lambda)$ -graded Lie algebra. Finally the division property is a consequence of (2.17), (T3) and Proposition 1.11(iii). $\square$

Corollary 2.21. *Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$ is a centerless division $(\dot{R}, \Lambda)$ -graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\dot{\mathcal{G}}, \dot{\mathcal{H}})$. In particular $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$ is a Λ -graded simple Lie algebra.*

Proof. The natural $\dot{R}$ and Λ -grading on $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$ induced from $\mathcal{L}_c$ together with Theorem 2.18 show that $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$ is a centerless division $(\dot{R}, \Lambda)$ -graded Lie algebra. The second statement is a consequence of [Yo2, Lemma 4.4]. $\square$

2.2. A characterization for a subclass of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $- : \mathcal{L}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{cc}$ be the canonical map. By Proposition 1.11(ix) and Lemma 2.12(ii), $-|_{\dot{\mathcal{G}}}$ is injective, so we may identify $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$. In this case we have the following lemma which is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 1.11(iii):

Lemma 2.22. *If $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}$ and $\sigma \in R^0$ with $\alpha = \dot{\alpha} + \sigma \in R$, then $t_{\dot{\alpha}} = \overline{t_{\alpha}}$.*

Definition 2.23. Let ν be a positive integer and let $\mathcal{D}$ be the class of all triples $(\mathcal{G}, (\cdot, \cdot), \dot{\mathfrak{h}})$, $\mathcal{G}$ a Lie algebra, $(\cdot, \cdot)$ a symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{G}$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$, satisfying the following 12 axioms:

- (D1) The form is non-degenerate and invariant.
- (D2) $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a finite dimensional toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$. Take $\dot{R}$ to be the root system of $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}$.
- (D3) $(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\dot{\mathfrak{h}} \times \dot{\mathfrak{h}}}$ is non-degenerate and the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ restricted to the $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace of $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ spanned by $\{t_{\dot{\alpha}} \mid \dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}\}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued.
- (D3) allows us to transfer the form on $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ to a form on $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ by setting $(\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}) := (t_{\dot{\alpha}}, t_{\dot{\beta}})$ for $\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta} \in \dot{\mathfrak{h}}^*$. Put $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\dot{R})$ and $\dot{\mathcal{U}} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \dot{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Consider a basis $\{\dot{\beta}_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and define $(1 \otimes \dot{\beta}_i, 1 \otimes \dot{\beta}_j) := (\dot{\beta}_i, \dot{\beta}_j)$ for $i, j \in I$. Extend this linearly to a bilinear form on $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$. We may consider $\dot{R}$ as a subset of $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ by identifying $\dot{\alpha}$ with $1 \otimes \dot{\alpha}$. The next axioms are:
- (D4) The form on $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form and $\dot{R}$ is an irreducible finite root system in $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$.
- (D5) $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}} \mathcal{G}^{\sigma}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ -graded Lie algebra.
- (D6) For each $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}} \mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\sigma}$ where $\mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\sigma} := \mathcal{G}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$.
- (D7) $\dot{\mathfrak{h}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}^0 \cap \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0^0$.
- (D8) $\mathcal{G}_0 = \sum_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^{\times}} [\mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \mathcal{G}_{-\dot{\alpha}}]$.
- (D9) $\{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \mid \mathcal{G}^{\sigma} \neq \{0\}\}$ generates a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ of rank ν .
- (D10) If $\sigma, \tau \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{G})$, then $(\mathcal{G}^{\sigma}, \mathcal{G}^{\tau}) = \{0\}$ unless $\sigma + \tau = 0$.

Note that for $\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta} \in \dot{R}$, the invariance of the form and the Jacobi identity imply that $(\mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \mathcal{G}_{\dot{\beta}}) = \{0\}$ unless $\dot{\alpha} + \dot{\beta} = 0$. Now using this together with (D10), we have $(\mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\sigma}, \mathcal{G}_{\dot{\beta}}^{\tau}) = \{0\}$ if $\sigma + \tau \neq 0$ or $\dot{\alpha} + \dot{\beta} \neq 0$.

- (D11) $\mathcal{G}^0 \cap \mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}} \neq \{0\}$, for all $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{red}^{\times}$.
- (D12) (a) For each $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^{\times}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ and $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{G}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ there exists $y \in \mathcal{G}^{-\sigma} \cap \mathcal{G}_{-\dot{\alpha}}$, such that $[x, y] = t_{\dot{\alpha}}$.
- (b) For $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ if $\mathcal{G}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{G}_0 \neq \{0\}$ there exist $x \in \mathcal{G}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{G}_0$ and $y \in \mathcal{G}^{-\sigma} \cap \mathcal{G}_0$ such that $[x, y] = 0$ and $(x, y) = 1$.

When $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ are fixed or unimportant, we denote a triple $(\mathcal{G}, (\cdot, \cdot), \dot{\mathfrak{h}})$ by $\mathcal{G}$.

The property stated in (D10) says that the form on $\mathcal{G}$ is $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ -graded in the following sense:

Definition 2.24. A symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on a G -graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}^g$ satisfying

$$(\mathcal{L}^g, \mathcal{L}^h) = 0 \text{ unless } g + h = 0 \text{ for all } g, h \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{L}),$$

is called a G -graded form (or a graded form for simplicity).

Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ and put $\mathcal{L}_{cc} := \mathcal{L}_c / Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$. Consider the split simple Lie algebra $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ with splitting Cartan subalgebra $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$ as in Theorem 2.7. We recall that the canonical map $- : \mathcal{L}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{cc}$ restricted to $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ is injective and so we identify $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$. It follows from Proposition 1.11(viii) that the form on $\mathcal{L}$ induces a form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{cc}$ on $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$ such that $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})_{cc} = (x, y)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{L}_c$. In the following theorem, we give a characterization of algebras in $\mathcal{T}$ in terms of algebras in $\mathcal{D}$. Our argument is essentially the same as [Az1, Proposition 1.28], however as our axioms are different from those of [Az1], for the convenience of reader, we provide the proof.

Theorem 2.25. Let $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H}) \in \mathcal{T}$ with root system R and nullity ν . Then $(\mathcal{L}_{cc}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{cc}, \dot{\mathcal{H}})$ satisfies (D1) – (D11). Moreover if $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following property

(2.26)

if $\sigma \in R^0$ with $(\mathcal{L}_c)_0^\sigma \neq \{0\}$, then there exist $x \in (\mathcal{L}_c)_0^\sigma$ and $y \in (\mathcal{L}_c)_0^{-\sigma}$ so that $\overline{[x, y]} = 0$ and $(x, y) = 1$

then (D12) is also satisfied. In other words, the centerless core of a Lie algebra in $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying (2.26) belongs to $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. We know that $\langle R^0 \rangle$ is a free abelian group of rank ν , so we may assume $\langle R^0 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. If $x + Z(\mathcal{L}_c) \in \mathcal{L}_{cc}$ and $(x + Z(\mathcal{L}_c), \mathcal{L}_{cc})_{cc} = \{0\}$, then $(x, \mathcal{L}_c) = \{0\}$, so by Proposition 1.11(viii), we have $x \in Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$. It means that $(\cdot, \cdot)_{cc}$ is non-degenerate. Also since $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is invariant, $(\cdot, \cdot)_{cc}$ is invariant. So (D1) holds. By Corollary 2.21, $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$ is an $(\dot{R}, \mathbb{Z}^\nu)$ -graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\dot{\mathcal{G}}, \dot{\mathcal{H}})$, therefore we have (D2), (D5), (D6), (D8) and (D11). From Proposition 1.11(ii) we know that the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ restricted to $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued. Also from subsection 2.1 we know $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathcal{V} = \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{V}^0$ where $\mathcal{V}^0$ is the radical of the induced form on $\mathcal{V}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{R} \dot{\alpha}_i$ is a finite dimensional real vector space where $\{\dot{\alpha}_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ is a base for the finite root system $\dot{R}$ in $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$. Also by Lemma 2.8, the form restricted to $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$ is non-degenerate. Therefore Remark 2.9 gives (D3). Set $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\dot{R})$ and $\dot{\mathcal{U}} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \dot{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We can identify $\dot{\mathcal{U}} \equiv \dot{\mathcal{V}}$ and so $\dot{R}$ is a finite root system in $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$. This implies that (D4) holds.

We know that $\{\dot{\alpha}_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ is a subset of R . So by (T3) for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, there exist $x_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\dot{\alpha}_i}$ and $y_i \in \mathcal{L}_{-\dot{\alpha}_i}$ such that $t_{\dot{\alpha}_i} = [x_i, y_i]$, therefore $[x_i, y_i] \in (\mathcal{L}_c)^0 \cap (\mathcal{L}_c)_0$. Thus $t_{\dot{\alpha}_i} = \overline{t_{\dot{\alpha}_i}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_0^0$ (see Lemma 2.22) and so $\dot{\mathcal{H}} \subseteq (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_0^0$. In other words (D7) holds.

Let $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{sh}$ and define $S = S_{\dot{\alpha}} := \{\sigma \in R^0 \mid \dot{\alpha} + \sigma \in R\}$. If $\sigma \in S$, then $\dot{\alpha} + \sigma \in R^\times$, so $\mathcal{L}_{\dot{\alpha}+\sigma} \in \mathcal{L}^\sigma \cap \mathcal{L}_c$. Therefore by Proposition 1.11(ix), $(\mathcal{L}_{\dot{\alpha}+\sigma} + Z(\mathcal{L}_c))/Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$ is a nonzero subset of $(\mathcal{L}_{cc})^\sigma$, hence $S \subseteq \{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu \mid (\mathcal{L}_{cc})^\sigma \neq \{0\}\}$. Now since $\langle S \rangle = \langle R^0 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, the set $\{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu \mid (\mathcal{L}_{cc})^\sigma \neq \{0\}\}$ generates a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ of rank ν .

Next let $\sigma, \tau \in R^0$ and $\sigma + \tau \neq 0$, then by (1.2)

$$((\mathcal{L}_{cc})^\sigma, (\mathcal{L}_{cc})^\tau)_{cc} = (\mathcal{L}_c^\sigma, \mathcal{L}_c^\tau) \subseteq (\sum_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^\times} \mathcal{L}_{\dot{\alpha}+\sigma} + \mathcal{L}_\sigma, \sum_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^\times} \mathcal{L}_{\dot{\alpha}+\tau} + \mathcal{L}_\tau) = \{0\}.$$

It means that $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$ satisfies (D10).

For the last statement it is enough to show that if $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^\times$ and $0 \neq x + Z(\mathcal{L}_c) \in (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_{\dot{\alpha}}^\sigma$, then there exists $y + Z(\mathcal{L}_c) \in (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_{-\dot{\alpha}}^{-\sigma}$ such that $[x + Z(\mathcal{L}_c), y + Z(\mathcal{L}_c)] = t_{\dot{\alpha}}$. Using (2.17), we have $\mathcal{L}_{\dot{\alpha}+\sigma} \neq \{0\}$ which means $\alpha = \dot{\alpha} + \sigma \in R$. Without loss of generality we may assume $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. So (T3) implies that there exists $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$ such that $[x, y] = t_\alpha$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.22 that $[x + Z(\mathcal{L}_c), y + Z(\mathcal{L}_c)] = \overline{[x, y]} = \overline{t_\alpha} = t_{\dot{\alpha}}$. $\square$

Remark 2.27. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$. Let $\tau, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $\dot{\eta}, \dot{\beta} \in \dot{R}$ so that $(\mathcal{L}_{cc})_{\dot{\eta}}^\lambda, (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_{\dot{\beta}}^\tau \neq \{0\}$. Theorem 2.25 implies that the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{cc}$ restricted to $(\mathcal{L}_{cc})_{\dot{\eta}}^\lambda \oplus (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_{-\dot{\eta}}^{-\lambda}$ is non-degenerate and $((\mathcal{L}_{cc})_{\dot{\eta}}^\lambda, (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_{\dot{\beta}}^\tau)_{cc} = 0$ except when $\dot{\eta} + \dot{\beta} = 0$ and $\lambda + \tau = 0$.

Proposition 2.28. Let $\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{\mu \in \Delta} \oplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}_\mu^g$ be a division $(\Delta, \mathbb{Z}^\nu)$ -graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero. Suppose that there is a non-degenerate symmetric invariant graded bilinear form on $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) The form restricted to $\mathfrak{g}$ coincides with the Killing form.
- (ii) For $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and $\mathcal{L}_0^\sigma \neq 0$, there exist $x \in \mathcal{L}_0^\sigma$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}_0^{-\sigma}$ such that $[x, y] = 0$ and $(x, y) = 1$.

Then $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathfrak{h}) \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. The form is non-degenerate, symmetric and invariant, so (D1) holds. We have (D2) because $\mathcal{L}$ is a $(\Delta, \mathbb{Z}^\nu)$ -graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, in fact $\mathfrak{h}$ plays the role of $\mathcal{H}$ in (D2). It follows using [H, §8.5] that the restriction of the Killing form to the $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace $\mathfrak{h}$ spanned by $\{t_\mu \mid \mu \in \Delta_{red}\}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued. So (D3) holds. It is obvious that (D4) holds. (D5), (D6), (D8) and (D11) hold by the definition of $\mathcal{L}$ as a $(\Delta, \mathbb{Z}^\nu)$ -graded Lie algebra. By [Yo3, Lemma 2.1], $\mathcal{L}$ is centerless, so if $\mu \in \Delta^\times$ and $g \in G$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\mu^g \neq 0$, the division property of $\mathcal{L}$ implies that for $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L}_\mu^g$ there exists $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\mu}^{-g}$ such that $[x, y] = t_\mu$. This together with (ii) gives (D12). We know that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{L}^0$, so $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathcal{L}^0 \cap \mathcal{L}_0$ i.e. (D7) is satisfied. (D9) holds because $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L})$ generates $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Finally $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies (D10) since the form is graded. $\square$

Next we show how to construct elements in $\mathcal{T}$ of arbitrary nullity starting from elements in $\mathcal{D}$. Consider $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}$ and for $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ define $d_i \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{G})$

such that $d_i x = n_i x$ if $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(n_1, \dots, n_\nu)}$. It follows using (D9) that $d_1, \dots, d_\nu$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}$.

Set $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G} \oplus C \oplus D$ where $C = \oplus_{i=1}^\nu \mathbb{F} c_i$ is a ν -dimensional vector space and $D = \oplus_{i=1}^\nu \mathbb{F} d_i \subseteq \text{Der}(\mathcal{G})$. Similar to [AABGP, §III.1] we define an anti-commutative product $[\cdot, \cdot]'$ on $\mathcal{L}$ and also a symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{L}$ which extends the form on $\mathcal{G}$ as follows:

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}, C]' &= 0, \\ [D, D]' &= 0, \\ [d_i, x]' &= d_i x \quad x \in \mathcal{G}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \nu, \\ [x, y]' &= [x, y] + \sum_{i=1}^\nu (d_i x, y) c_i \quad x, y \in \mathcal{G}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{aligned} (C, C) &= 0, \quad (D, D) = 0, \\ (c_i, d_j) &= \delta_{i,j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq \nu, \\ (C, \mathcal{G}) &= (D, \mathcal{G}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument as in [AABGP, Proposition III.1.20], with slight modification, gives the following theorem. We give a sketch of the proof and refer the interested reader to [AABGP] for details.

Theorem 2.31. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, (\cdot, \cdot), \dot{\mathfrak{h}})$ be an element of $\mathcal{D}$. Set $\mathcal{H} = \dot{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus C \oplus D$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G} \oplus C \oplus D$, then $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H}) \in \mathcal{T}$. Also $\mathcal{L}$ is tame of nullity ν and of the same type as the type of $\dot{R}$. Moreover $\mathcal{L}_c = \mathcal{G} \oplus C$, $Z(\mathcal{L}_c) = C$ and $\mathcal{L}_{cc} \simeq \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. The form defined in (2.30) is a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form such that the restricted form to $\mathcal{H}$ is non-degenerate. It means that (T1) and the last part of (T2) hold. Let $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\nu\}$ be the dual basis of $\{d_1, \dots, d_\nu\}$ and identify $\mathcal{H}^*$ with $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}^* \oplus C^* \oplus D^*$. Then $t_{\delta_i} = c_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, \nu$. We can identify $\mathbb{Z}^\nu \subseteq D^*$ by $(n_1, \dots, n_\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^\nu n_i \delta_i$. Then $\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}, \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} \mathcal{L}^{\dot{\alpha} + \sigma}$ where

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\dot{\alpha} + \sigma} &= \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid [h, x]' = (\dot{\alpha} + \sigma)(h)x, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}\} \\ &= \begin{cases} (\mathcal{G}_0 \cap \mathcal{G}^0) + C + D & \text{if } \dot{\alpha} + \sigma = 0 \\ \mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}} \cap \mathcal{G}^\sigma & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies (T2). The root system R of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\{\dot{\alpha} + \sigma \mid \dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}, \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu, \mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}} \cap \mathcal{G}^\sigma \neq \{0\}\} \subseteq \dot{R} + \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Moreover $R^0 = R \cap \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Now (D12) together with (1.5) and (2.29) implies that (T3) holds. Since $\dot{R}$ is a finite root system, (T4) holds. Set $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ and $\mathcal{U} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then (D3) allows us to have a real valued form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{U}$. If $\mathcal{U}^0$ is the radical of the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$, then $\mathcal{U} = \dot{\mathcal{U}} \oplus \mathcal{U}^0$ where $\dot{\mathcal{U}} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\dot{R})$. Now the canonical map $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}^0$ maps $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$ isometrically onto $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$. So by (D4), $\bar{R}$, the image R under $\bar{\cdot}$, is an irreducible finite root system in $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ which is isomorphic to $\dot{R}$. Therefore (T5)(a) holds. Also (D8) implies that (T5)(b) holds. Finally $R^0 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, so $\langle R^0 \rangle$ is a free abelian group of finite rank. This completes the proof. $\square$

We now provide an example of an element $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H}) \in \mathcal{T}$ of type C with arbitrary nullity which satisfies all the axioms of an EALA except that $\mathcal{H}$ is not self centralizing. It is interesting that even though $\mathcal{L}$ is not an EALA, its root system remains an extended affine root system in the sense of [AABGP]. In [AKY, Example 1.10], another such an example, of type BC , is provided but the root system is not anymore an extended affine root system in the sense of [AABGP].

Let $(A, \cdot)$ be an algebra. A linear transformation $f : A \longrightarrow A$ is called an *involution*, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(2.33) \quad f^2 = id \quad \text{and} \quad f(a \cdot b) = f(b) \cdot f(a) \text{ for } a, b \in A.$$

If V is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, $f : V \longrightarrow V$ is called *semi-linear* if

$$\begin{aligned} f(v + w) &= f(v) + f(w) \\ f(rv) &= \bar{r}f(v) \end{aligned} \quad r \in \mathbb{C}, v, w \in V.$$

If A is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, $f : A \longrightarrow A$ is called *semi-linear involution* if f is semi-linear and satisfies (2.33).

Example 2.34. Let $\nu \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq \nu}$ be a $\nu \times \nu$ -matrix such that

$$(2.35) \quad q_{i,i} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q_{i,j} = q_{j,i} = \pm 1, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \nu.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ defined by generators $\{t_i^{\pm 1}\}_{i=1}^\nu$ and relations

$$(2.36) \quad t_i t_j = q_{i,j} t_j t_i, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \nu.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a *quantum torus* [BGK, §2]. We have

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} \mathbb{C} t^\sigma = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} (\mathbb{R} t^\sigma \oplus \mathbb{R} i t^\sigma) \quad \text{where} \\ t^\sigma &= t_1^{n_1} \dots t_\nu^{n_\nu} \quad \text{for } \sigma = (n_1, \dots, n_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

There is a semi-linear involution $-$ on $\mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\bar{t}_i = t_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{xt^\sigma} = \bar{x} \bar{t}^\sigma; \quad x \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu.$$

For $\sigma = (n_1, \dots, n_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ put $\kappa_\sigma = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \nu} (q_{i,j})^{n_i n_j}$. Let us call $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ *even* if κ_σ is positive and call it *odd* if κ_σ is negative. It is easy to see that

$$(2.38) \quad \kappa_\sigma = \kappa_{-\sigma}, \quad t^\sigma t^{-\sigma} = \kappa_\sigma, \quad \bar{t}^\sigma = \kappa_\sigma t^\sigma; \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu.$$

Now consider $\mathcal{A}$ as an $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra and let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Put

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_\ell \\ -I_\ell & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

E is an invertible $2\ell \times 2\ell$ matrix and $E^{-1} = -E$. Let $*$ be the $\mathbb{R}$ -linear automorphism of $M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$ (the set of $2\ell \times 2\ell$ matrices over $\mathcal{A}$) defined by

$$*: M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A}); \quad X \mapsto E^{-1} \bar{X}^t E,$$

where for $X \in M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$, X^t denotes the transposition of X . For $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j}$, $B = (b_{i,j})_{i,j} \in M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$(2.39) \quad (AB)^* = B^*A^* \quad \text{and} \quad (A^*)^* = A.$$

That is, $*$ is an involution of $M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$. Set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{X \in M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A}) \mid X^* = -X\}.$$

It is easy to check that $X \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & S \\ T & -\bar{A}^t \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } A, S, T \in M_\ell(\mathcal{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{S}^t = S, \bar{T}^t = T.$$

By (2.39), $\mathcal{B}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$.

For $1 \leq r, s \leq 2\ell$, take $e_{r,s}$ to be the matrix having 1 in (r, s) position and 0 elsewhere. Next set $\dot{\mathcal{H}} := \sum_{r=1}^\ell \mathbb{R} \dot{h}_r$ where $\dot{h}_r = e_{r,r} - e_{\ell+r, \ell+r}$ for $1 \leq r \leq \ell$. For $1 \leq r \leq \ell$ define $\varepsilon_r \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}^*$ by $\varepsilon_r(\dot{h}_s) = \delta_{r,s}$, $1 \leq s \leq \ell$. We can see

$$(2.40) \quad \mathcal{B} = \sum_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}^*} \mathcal{B}_{\dot{\alpha}} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{B}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \{x \in \mathcal{B} \mid [h, x] = \dot{\alpha}(h)x, h \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}\}, \dot{\alpha} \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}^*.$$

Set $\dot{R} := \{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{\mathcal{H}}^* \mid \mathcal{B}_{\dot{\alpha}} \neq \{0\}\}$. Then $\dot{R} \setminus \{0\} = \dot{R}_{sh} \cup \dot{R}_{lg}$ where

$$(2.41) \quad \dot{R}_{sh} = \{\pm(\varepsilon_r \pm \varepsilon_s) \mid 1 \leq r \neq s \leq \ell\} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{R}_{lg} = \{\pm 2\varepsilon_r \mid 1 \leq r \leq \ell\}.$$

Moreover we have

$$(2.42) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s} &= \{ae_{r,s} - \bar{a}e_{\ell+s, \ell+r} \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}, \quad r \neq s \\ \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_r + \varepsilon_s} &= \{ae_{r, \ell+s} + \bar{a}e_{s, \ell+r} \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{-\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s} &= \{ae_{\ell+r, s} + \bar{a}e_{\ell+s, r} \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.43) \quad \mathcal{B}_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{A}^t \end{pmatrix} \mid A \text{ is diagonal} \right\}.$$

Now we want to define a real form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{B}$. For this, we first define $\epsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by linear extension of

$$\epsilon(t^\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(it^\sigma) = 0.$$

Then $(a, b) \mapsto \epsilon(ab)$ is a non-degenerate symmetric real bilinear form on $\mathcal{A}$ preserved by $-$. Now one can define a symmetric invariant real bilinear form on $M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$ (and so on $\mathfrak{gl}_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$) as follows:

$$(A, B) = \epsilon(\text{tr}(AB)); \quad A, B \in M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A}).$$

For $1 \leq r, s \leq \ell$, we have $(\dot{h}_r, \dot{h}_s) = \epsilon(\text{tr}(\dot{h}_r \dot{h}_s)) = \epsilon(2\delta_{r,s}) = 2\varepsilon_r(\dot{h}_s)$, so the form restricted to $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$ is non-degenerate. Also

$$(2.44) \quad t_{\varepsilon_r} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{h}_r \quad \text{and} \quad (t_{\varepsilon_r}, t_{\varepsilon_s}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{r,s}; \quad 1 \leq r, s \leq \ell.$$

It is easy to check that

(2.45)

the form restricted to $\mathcal{B}$ is non-degenerate, symmetric and invariant.

Next, we would like to make $\mathcal{B}$ to a $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ -graded Lie algebra. As in [AABGP, §III.3], we start with a gradation on $M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$ (as a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$). For $1 \leq p, q \leq 2\ell$, set

$$\deg(it^\sigma e_{pq}) = \deg(t^\sigma e_{pq}) = \sigma.$$

This defines a $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ -grading on $M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$ and in turn on $\mathfrak{gl}_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, using (2.38), we have $(t^\sigma e_{p,q})^* = \kappa_\sigma E^{-1} t^\sigma e_{q,p} E$ for $1 \leq p, q \leq 2\ell$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Thus the involution $*$ preserves the grading on $M_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A})$ and so

$$(2.46) \quad \mathcal{B} \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}^\nu\text{-graded subalgebra of } \mathfrak{gl}_{2\ell}(\mathcal{A}).$$

It is easy to check that the form on $\mathcal{B}$ is a graded form. Also it can be easily seen from (2.42) and (2.38) that

$$(2.47) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} (\mathcal{B}_{\dot{\alpha}} \cap \mathcal{B}^\sigma); \quad \dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}.$$

Next let $\mathcal{G}$ be the derived algebra of $\mathcal{B}$. Then

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 + \sum_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^\times} \mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \mathcal{B}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ for $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^\times$ and $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{B}_0 \cap \mathcal{G}$. Now let $1 \leq r \neq s \leq \ell$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Using (2.38) and (2.42), we have

$$(2.48) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s}^\sigma &= \mathbb{R}t^\sigma(e_{r,s} - \kappa_\sigma e_{\ell+s, \ell+r}) + i\mathbb{R}t^\sigma(e_{r,s} + \kappa_\sigma e_{\ell+s, \ell+r}), \\ \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_r + \varepsilon_s}^\sigma &= \mathbb{R}t^\sigma(e_{r, \ell+s} + \kappa_\sigma e_{s, \ell+r}) + i\mathbb{R}t^\sigma(e_{r, \ell+s} - \kappa_\sigma e_{s, \ell+r}), \\ \mathcal{G}_{-\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s}^\sigma &= \mathbb{R}t^\sigma(e_{\ell+r, s} + \kappa_\sigma e_{\ell+s, r}) + i\mathbb{R}t^\sigma(e_{\ell+r, s} - \kappa_\sigma e_{\ell+s, r}), \\ \mathcal{G}_{2\varepsilon_r}^\sigma &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}t^\sigma e_{r, \ell+r} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is even} \\ i\mathbb{R}t^\sigma e_{r, \ell+r} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{G}_{-2\varepsilon_r}^\sigma &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}t^\sigma e_{\ell+r, r} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is even} \\ i\mathbb{R}t^\sigma e_{\ell+r, r} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For $\sigma = (n_1, \dots, n_\nu), \tau = (m_1, \dots, m_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, define

$$g_\sigma^\tau = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq \nu} q_{i,j}^{n_i m_j} \quad \text{and} \quad f_\sigma^\tau := g_\sigma^\tau g_\tau^\sigma.$$

One can easily see that for $\sigma, \tau, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$,

$$(2.49) \quad \kappa_\sigma = g_\sigma^\sigma, \quad f_\sigma^\tau \kappa_\sigma \kappa_\tau = \kappa_{\sigma+\tau}, \quad g_{\sigma+\tau}^\gamma = g_\sigma^\gamma g_\tau^\gamma, \quad g_\gamma^{\sigma+\tau} = g_\sigma^\gamma g_\tau^\gamma.$$

Now for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq r \neq s \leq \ell$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, set

$$\begin{aligned} A_{a,b}^{\sigma,r,s} &:= at^\sigma(e_{r,s} - \kappa_\sigma e_{\ell+s, \ell+r}) + ibt^\sigma(e_{r,s} + \kappa_\sigma e_{\ell+s, \ell+r}), \\ B_{a,b}^{\sigma,r,s} &:= at^\sigma(e_{r, \ell+s} + \kappa_\sigma e_{s, \ell+r}) + ibt^\sigma(e_{r, \ell+s} - \kappa_\sigma e_{s, \ell+r}), \\ C_{a,b}^{\sigma,r,s} &:= at^\sigma(e_{\ell+r, s} + \kappa_\sigma e_{\ell+s, r}) + ibt^\sigma(e_{\ell+r, s} - \kappa_\sigma e_{\ell+s, r}), \\ m_r^{\sigma, \tau} &:= e_{r,r} - \kappa_{\sigma+\tau} e_{\ell+r, \ell+r}, \quad n_r^{\sigma, \tau} := e_{r,r} + \kappa_{\sigma+\tau} e_{\ell+r, \ell+r}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.49), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.50) \quad [A_{a,b}^{\sigma,r,s}, A_{c,d}^{\tau,s,r}] &= g_\sigma^\tau((ac - bd)(m_r^{\sigma,\tau} - f_\sigma^\tau m_s^{\sigma,\tau}) \\
 &\quad + i(ad + bc)(n_r^{\sigma,\tau} - f_\sigma^\tau n_s^{\sigma,\tau}))t^{\sigma+\tau}, \\
 [B_{a,b}^{\sigma,r,s}, C_{c,d}^{\tau,r,s}] &= g_\sigma^\tau((ac + bd)\kappa_\tau(m_r^{\sigma,\tau} + f_\sigma^\tau \kappa_{\sigma+\tau} m_s^{\sigma,\tau}) \\
 &\quad + i(bc - ad)\kappa_\tau(n_r^{\sigma,\tau} - f_\sigma^\tau \kappa_{\sigma+\tau} n_s^{\sigma,\tau}))t^{\sigma+\tau}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$(2.51) \quad [t^\sigma e_{r,\ell+r}, t^\tau e_{\ell+r,\ell+r}] = \begin{cases} g_\sigma^\tau m_r^{\sigma,\tau} t^{\sigma+\tau} & \text{if } \kappa_\sigma \kappa_\tau = 1 \\ g_\sigma^\tau n_r^{\sigma,\tau} t^{\sigma+\tau} & \text{if } \kappa_\sigma \kappa_\tau = -1. \end{cases}$$

We recall that for $1 \leq r \leq \ell$, $\dot{h}_r = e_{r,r} - e_{\ell+r,\ell+r}$ and put $\ddot{h}_r := e_{r,r} + e_{\ell+r,\ell+r}$. Now let $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. We say γ satisfies *even property* (resp. *odd property*) if there exists $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ such that $\sigma + \tau = \gamma$ and $\kappa_\sigma \kappa_\tau = 1$ (resp. $\kappa_\sigma \kappa_\tau = -1$). Using (2.50) and (2.51), we have

$$\mathcal{G}_0^\gamma = \sum_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{K}^\times} \sum_{\substack{\tau, \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu \\ \sigma + \tau = \gamma}} [\mathcal{G}_\alpha^\sigma, \mathcal{G}_{-\alpha}^\tau] = \sum_{1 \leq r \neq s \leq \ell} \mathcal{G}_0^{\gamma,r,s}$$

where for $1 \leq r \neq s \leq \ell$, $\mathcal{G}_0^{\gamma,r,s}$ equals

$$\begin{cases} (\mathbb{R}\dot{h}_r + i\mathbb{R}\ddot{h}_r)t^\gamma & \text{if } \gamma \text{ is even and satisfies odd property,} \\ (\mathbb{R}\dot{h}_r + i\mathbb{R}(\ddot{h}_r - \ddot{h}_s))t^\gamma & \text{if } \gamma \text{ is even and does not satisfy odd property,} \\ (\mathbb{R}\dot{h}_r + i\mathbb{R}\dot{h}_r)t^\gamma & \text{if } \gamma \text{ is odd and satisfies even property,} \\ (\mathbb{R}(\ddot{h}_r - \ddot{h}_s) + i\mathbb{R}\dot{h}_r)t^\gamma & \text{if } \gamma \text{ is odd and does not satisfy even property.} \end{cases}$$

Now by mimicking the argument given in [AABGP, §III.4] we can check that $(\mathcal{G}, (\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}}, \dot{\mathcal{H}}) \in \mathcal{D}$. Therefore using Theorem 2.31, we can add to $\mathcal{G}$ some central elements and some derivations to obtain a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ which is tame and of type C_ℓ . However $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{G}_0 \cap \mathcal{G}^0$ and so $\mathcal{L}$ does not satisfy the second axiom of an EALA (see (2.32)). Also by (2.32) and (2.48), $\dim(\mathcal{L}_{\pm \varepsilon_r \pm \varepsilon_s + \sigma}) = \dim(\mathcal{G}_{\pm \varepsilon_r \pm \varepsilon_s}^\sigma) = 2$ for $1 \leq r \neq s \leq \ell$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. This phenomena never happens for an EALA [AABGP, Theorem I.1.29].

3. NULLITY ZERO

It is known that a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ is a finite dimensional tame EALA if and only if it is tame EALA of nullity 0. In this section, we first study the elements in $\mathcal{T}$ of nullity zero. We find a necessary and sufficient condition for a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{F}$ to be an element in $\mathcal{T}$ of nullity zero. Then we find a new characterization for finite dimensional simple Lie algebras containing a nonzero split torus. In fact we prove that a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra containing a nonzero torus is an element of $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying certain

properties. Conversely, an element of $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying these properties is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra containing a nonzero torus.

Definition 3.1. Let Δ be an irreducible finite root system and G be an abelian group. Let $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta} \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}_\mu^g$ be a (Δ, G) -graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. We call $\mathcal{L}$ *strictly division (Δ, G) -graded Lie algebra* if for each $\mu \in \Delta^\times$, $g \in G$ and $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L}_\mu^g$, there exists $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\mu}^{-g}$ such that $[x, y] = t_\mu$.

Theorem 3.2. Let Δ be an irreducible finite root system and $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathcal{A})$ be a strictly division Δ -graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Let $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E)$ be a Lie algebra for which there exist linear maps

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho : E &\longrightarrow (\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}))^\mathfrak{g} = \{d \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{A}) \mid d(\mathfrak{g}) = 0\} \\ \tau : E \times E &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau(x, y) &= -\tau(y, x), \\ \text{ad}(\tau(x, y)) &= [\rho(x), \rho(y)] - \rho([x, y]_E), \\ \tau([x, y]_E, z) + \tau([z, x]_E, y) + \tau([y, z]_E, x) &= \\ \rho(x)(\tau(y, z)) + \rho(z)(\tau(x, y)) + \rho(y)(\tau(z, x)), \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y, z \in E$. Set $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{A} \oplus E$ and define an anti-commutative product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\mathcal{L}$ as follows:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} [a, b] &= [a, b]_\mathcal{A}, \\ [x, a] &= -[a, x] = \rho(x)(a), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad x, y \in E. \\ [x, y] &= [x, y]_E + \tau(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\mathcal{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra containing $\mathcal{A}$ as an ideal such that $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{A} \cong E$. Moreover if W is a finite dimensional subspace of $Z(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}} &\text{ is nontrivial, } (\mathfrak{h}, W) = 0, \\ (\cdot, \cdot)|_{W \times W} &\text{ is non-degenerate,} \end{aligned}$$

then $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathfrak{h} \oplus W)$ is an element of $\mathcal{T}$ of nullity zero. Conversely if $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ is of nullity zero, then there exist a strictly division Δ -graded ideal $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ with grading pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and a vector subspace $E \subseteq C_\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A} \oplus E$. In this case E is a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{A}$, and there exist linear maps ρ and τ as in (3.3) satisfying (3.4) and (3.5).

Proof. One can easily check that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A} \oplus E$ is a Lie algebra. Since $[\mathfrak{h}, E] \subseteq [\mathfrak{g}, E] = \{0\}$, we have a weight space decomposition of $\mathcal{L}$ as $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ where $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus E$ and $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^\times$. Suppose now that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a form on $\mathcal{L}$ and W is a subspace of $Z(\mathcal{L})$ satisfying (3.6). We extend any $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*$ to a linear functional of $\mathcal{H} \oplus W$ by defining $\alpha|_W = 0$. Since the form on $\mathfrak{g}$ is nontrivial, $(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}}$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of the Killing form (see the proof of Lemma 2.8) and so $(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}}$ is non-degenerate, therefore the form on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus W$ is non-degenerate. Now since $W \subseteq Z(\mathcal{L})$, we

have $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x, h \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus W\}$, $\alpha \in \Delta$. Thus (T1) and (T2) hold. Also we have (T3) since $\mathcal{L}$ is a strictly division Δ -graded Lie algebra and the form on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of the Killing form such that $(\mathfrak{h}, W) = 0$. Finally, we have (T4), (T5) and (T6) because Δ is an irreducible finite root system.

Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is an element of $\mathcal{T}$ of nullity zero. Then $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \dot{R}} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ where $\dot{R}$ is an irreducible finite root system. Using (T3) together with Theorem 2.18, one can get that $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{L}_c$ is a strictly division $\dot{R}$ -graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\dot{\mathcal{G}}, \dot{\mathcal{H}})$ where $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$ are defined as in Theorem 2.7. Consider a subspace D of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} = D \oplus \mathcal{A}$ and let $x \in D$. Since $\mathcal{L}_c$ is an ideal, $\text{ad}(x)|_{\mathcal{L}_c} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{L}_c)$. Using the complete reducibility of $\mathcal{L}_c$ as a $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ -module and the first Whitehead lemma for $\dot{\mathcal{G}}$ -modules, we can apply [Be, Proposition 3.2] to each element of a basis of D and in this way construct a subspace E such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c \oplus E$ and $[E, \dot{\mathcal{G}}] = 0$. So we have a linear map $\rho : E \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})^{\dot{\mathcal{G}}}$ given by $\rho(x) = \text{ad}(x)|_{\mathcal{L}_c}$ for $x \in E$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity together with the anti-commutative product on $\mathcal{L}$ implies the existence of a Lie bracket on E and a linear map τ as in (3.3) satisfying (3.4) and (3.5). $\square$

It is a well-known fact that tame EALAs of nullity zero are finite dimensional, but a tame element in $\mathcal{T}$ of nullity zero need not to be finite dimensional. More precisely suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite dimensional split simple Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero with root system Δ , splitting Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ and the Killing form $\kappa(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field extension of $\mathbb{F}$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is central simple, $\bar{\mathcal{G}} := \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K}$ with Lie bracket $[x \otimes a, y \otimes b] = [x, y] \otimes ab$, $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ is a simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ and consequently $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ is centerless. For $\alpha \in \Delta^\times$ and the corresponding root space $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$, fix $x_{\pm\alpha} \in \mathcal{G}_{\pm\alpha}$, such that $[x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha$, where $h_\alpha = 2t_\alpha/\kappa(\alpha, \alpha)$. One can easily see that $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ has a weight space decomposition with respect to $\mathcal{H} \otimes 1$ as $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \bar{\mathcal{G}}_\alpha$, where $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_\alpha := \mathcal{G}_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K}$. Also for each $a \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $b \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $[x_\alpha \otimes a, x_{-\alpha} \otimes b] = h_\alpha \otimes 1$. Therefore $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ is a strictly division Δ -graded Lie algebra with grading pair $(\mathcal{G} \otimes 1, \mathcal{H} \otimes 1)$. Now let $\mathbb{K}$ be equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $f(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ which is invariant in the sense that

$$(3.7) \quad f(ab, c) = f(a, bc); \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Then

$$(3.8) \quad (\cdot, \cdot) : \bar{\mathcal{G}} \times \bar{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}; \quad (x \otimes a, y \otimes b) \mapsto \kappa(x, y)f(a, b),$$

is a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ as a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{F}$. Therefore by Theorem 3.4, $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Lie algebra belonging to $\mathcal{T}$ of nullity zero which is tame as $\mathcal{L}_c = \mathcal{L}$. Now if $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{F}]$ is infinite, then $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ is an infinite dimensional Lie algebra belonging to $\mathcal{T}$ which is tame and of nullity zero.

Example 3.9. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of all positive square-free integers. Set $\mathcal{B} := \{\sqrt{a} | a \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a linearly independent set over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see e.g. [W]). Therefore $\mathbb{K} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{B}$ is a field extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{K}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Now define $f(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}) := \delta_{a,b}a$, $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$, where $\delta_{a,b}$ denotes the Kronecker delta. Extend this linearly to a bilinear form $f(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. It is easy to see that f is non-degenerate, symmetric and invariant and so by the above discussion $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ is an infinite dimensional Lie algebra belonging to $\mathcal{T}$ which is tame and of nullity zero.

We recall that for $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H}) \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^\perp$, where $\mathcal{H}^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{L}_0$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfy $\sum_{\alpha \in R^\times} \mathcal{H}_\alpha = \mathcal{H}^\perp$ (see (1.4)). If the nullity of $\mathcal{L}$ is zero, then $\mathcal{L}_c$ is a simple Lie algebra and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c \odot Z(\mathcal{L})$ where $\odot$ means orthogonal direct sum. Moreover if $\mathcal{L}$ is tame, then $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c$ is a simple Lie algebra.*

Proof. We know from (2.11) and Lemma 2.12 that $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^\perp = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^0 \oplus D \oplus W \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R^\times} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ and $W \subseteq Z(\mathcal{L})$. Since the nullity of $\mathcal{L}$ is zero, $\mathcal{H}^0 \oplus D = \{0\}$. Also by Proposition 1.11(iv), we have $\sum_{\alpha \in R^\times} \mathcal{H}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{L}_c$, so by Proposition 1.11(viii), $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c \odot W$. We know that the forms on $\mathcal{L}$ and on W are non-degenerate, so the form on $\mathcal{L}_c$ is non-degenerate. Therefore by Proposition 1.11(viii), $\mathcal{L}_c$ is centerless. Hence $W = Z(\mathcal{L})$ and by Corollary 2.21, $\mathcal{L}_c$ is a simple $\dot{R}$ -graded Lie algebra. If moreover $\mathcal{L}$ is tame, then by Lemma 2.12(iii) we have $W = 0$ and so $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c$ is a simple $\dot{R}$ -graded Lie algebra. $\square$

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a finite dimensional Lie algebra. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a tame element in $\mathcal{T}$ with $\sum_{\alpha \in R^\times} \mathcal{H}_\alpha = \mathcal{H}^\perp$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ is simple Lie algebra containing a nonzero torus.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ be finite dimensional and tame which satisfies $\sum_{\alpha \in R^\times} \mathcal{H}_\alpha = \mathcal{H}^\perp$. By [AKY, (2.1)], R^0 is a semilattice, so the nullity of $\mathcal{L}$ is zero since $\mathcal{L}$ is finite dimensional. Now Lemma 3.10 implies that $\mathcal{L}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra. Moreover $\mathcal{L}$ contains a nonzero torus since $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies (T2).

Conversely, let $\mathcal{L}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra containing a nonzero torus. Since $\mathbb{F}$ is of characteristic zero, the Killing form on $\mathcal{L}$ is non-degenerate, so $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies (T1). Let $0 \neq \mathcal{H}$ be a maximal torus, then by [Se, Corollary to Lemma I.1.6], the form on $\mathcal{H}$ is non-degenerate, so (T2) holds. By [Se, §I.1 and §III.1], $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \dot{R}} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, where $\dot{R}$ is an irreducible finite root system. Now we have (T3) by [Se, Lemma I.1.3 and Corollary to Lemma I.1.4]. The axioms (T4), (T5) and (T6) are satisfied because $\dot{R}$ is an irreducible finite root system. Finally, since $\mathcal{L}$ is simple, we have $\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \dot{R}^\times} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$ then $\mathcal{L}$ is tame and $\sum_{\alpha \in R^\times} \mathcal{H}_\alpha = \mathcal{H}^\perp$. $\square$

Example 3.12. Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. Each noncompact real Lie algebra is a tame finite dimensional element of $\mathcal{T}$ with toral subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ and root system R satisfying $\sum_{\alpha \in R^\times} \mathcal{H}_\alpha = \mathcal{H}^\perp$ (see [Se, §V.8]).

4. APPENDIX

In [AKY], the authors axiomatically introduced a class of Lie algebras called *toral type extended affine Lie algebras*. The root system of a toral type extended affine Lie algebra is an EARS (see Definition 4.1) [AKY, §1]. One can see that the axioms of a toral type extended affine Lie algebra are slightly different from (T1)–(T6). In this section we show that a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ is a toral type extended affine Lie algebra. For this, it is enough to prove that for a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ with root system R , the $\mathbb{Q}$ -span of the root system R , $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{Q}$, is a finite dimensional vector space and R is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R} \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 4.1. Let V be a nontrivial finite dimensional real vector space with a positive semidefinite symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let R be a nonempty subset of V . Let

$$R^\times = \{\alpha \in R \mid (\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad R^0 = \{\alpha \in R \mid (\alpha, \alpha) = 0\}.$$

Then $R = R^\times \uplus R^0$. $(R, (\cdot, \cdot))$ (or R if there is no any confusing) is called an *extended affine root system* in V if R satisfies the following 5 axioms:

(R1) $R = -R$.

(R2) R spans V .

(R3) R is discrete in V .

(R4) For $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $\beta \in R$, there exist $d, u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\{\beta + n\alpha \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap R = \{\beta - d\alpha, \dots, \beta + u\alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad d - u = 2(\beta, \alpha)/(\alpha, \alpha).$$

(R5)(a) $R^\times$ cannot be written as a disjoint union of two nonempty subsets which are orthogonal with respect to the form.

(b) For any $\delta \in R^0$, there exists $\alpha \in R^\times$ such that $\alpha + \delta \in R$.

R is called *reduced* if it satisfies:

(R6) $\alpha \in R^\times \Rightarrow 2\alpha \notin R$.

Now let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$ with root system R . Let $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F} := \text{span}_\mathbb{F}(R)$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_\mathbb{F}$ be the form restricted to $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F}$. Take $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F}^0$ to be the radical of the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_\mathbb{F}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F}/\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F}^0$. Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{V}}_\mathbb{F}$ be the canonical map. The form $(\cdot, \cdot)_\mathbb{F}$ on $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F}$ induces a unique non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\bar{\cdot}, \bar{\cdot})_\mathbb{F}$ on $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_\mathbb{F}$ so that $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})_\mathbb{F} = (\alpha, \beta)_\mathbb{F}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F}$. Let $\bar{R}$ be the image of R under $\bar{\cdot}$. For $\alpha \in R^0$, Proposition 1.11(i) gives that $(\alpha, R) = 0$ so $(\alpha, \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F})_\mathbb{F} = 0$. It means that $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F}^0$. Also if $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F}^0 \cap R$, then $(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$, in other words $\alpha \in R^0$. Therefore we have

$$(4.2) \quad R^0 = R \cap \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{F}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{R} \setminus \{0\} = \{\bar{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in R^\times\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{Q} = \text{span}_\mathbb{Q}(R)$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_\mathbb{Q}$ be the form restricted to $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{Q}^0$ be the radical of the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_\mathbb{Q}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\mathbb{Q} = \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{Q}/\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{Q}^0$. Let $\tilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\mathbb{Q}$ be the

canonical map. The form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ induces a unique non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ so that $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})_{\mathbb{Q}} = (\alpha, \beta)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Set $\tilde{R}$ to be the image of R under $\sim$. One can easily check that

$$(4.3) \quad R^0 = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \cap R \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}}^0 \cap \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

So $\tilde{R} \setminus \{0\} = \{\tilde{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in R^{\times}\}$. Now let $\tilde{\alpha} \in \tilde{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and define $w_{\tilde{\alpha}} \in GL(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ by:

$$w_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\tilde{\beta}) = \tilde{\beta} - \frac{2(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\alpha})_{\mathbb{Q}}}{(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha})_{\mathbb{Q}}} \tilde{\alpha}; \quad \beta \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$(4.4) \quad w_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\tilde{\beta}) = (w_{\alpha}(\beta))^{\sim}; \quad \alpha, \beta \in R,$$

where $(w_{\alpha}(\beta))^{\sim}$ is the image of $w_{\alpha}(\beta)$ under map $\sim$.

Lemma 4.5. $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\tilde{R}$ is a finite root system in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{H}^*$, $\dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}} < \infty$ and so $\dim \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{F}} < \infty$. Now using the same argument as in [AABGP, Lemma I.2.10], one can see that $\tilde{R}$ is a finite set. By (4.3), we have $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}}^0 \cap \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. So the map $\phi : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ defined by $\phi(\tilde{\alpha}) = \bar{\alpha}$ is well defined and injective. One can see that $\phi(\tilde{R}) = \bar{R}$ and so $\tilde{R}$ is finite. Therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is finite dimensional since $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{R})$. Now we are done, using (4.4) together with Proposition 1.9. $\square$

Now let $\Pi = \{\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_s\}$ be a base for $\tilde{R}$. Fix a preimage $\dot{\alpha}_i \in R$ of $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and set $\dot{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\dot{\alpha}_1, \dots, \dot{\alpha}_s\}$. Then $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$. Define $\dot{R} := \{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \dot{\alpha} + \delta \in R \text{ for some } \delta \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0\}$ and set $\dot{R}^{\times} := \dot{R} \setminus \{0\}$. $\dot{R}$ is mapped bijectively to $\tilde{R}$ under $\sim$. Hence $\dot{R}$ is a finite root system in $\dot{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ isomorphic to $\tilde{R}$. For $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^{\times}$ let $S_{\dot{\alpha}} = \{\delta \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \mid \dot{\alpha} + \delta \in R\}$, then

$$(4.6) \quad R = R^0 \uplus (\uplus_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^{\times}} (\dot{\alpha} + S_{\dot{\alpha}})),$$

where $\uplus$ means disjoint union.

Lemma 4.7. $\sum_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^{\times}} S_{\dot{\alpha}} \subset R^0$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R^0)$.

Proof. Using the same argument as in [AABGP, Proposition II.2.11(b)], we have $S_{\dot{\alpha}} \subset R^0$ for $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}^{\times}$. This then together with (4.6) implies the equality in the statement. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Axiom (T6) says that $\langle R^0 \rangle$ is a free abelian group of finite rank. If $R^0 = \{0\}$, then Lemma 4.7 together with Lemma 4.5 implies that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is finite dimensional. Now suppose that $R^0 \neq \{0\}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for $\langle R^0 \rangle$. Using Lemma 4.7, we have $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\delta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and consequently $\dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 < \infty$. Also by Lemma 4.5, $\dim \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}} =$

$\dim \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}} < \infty$. Therefore $\dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \dim \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbb{Q}} + \dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 < \infty$. $\square$

Next set $\mathcal{V} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Identifying $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a subset of $\mathcal{V}$, we can prove the following proposition:

Proposition 4.9. *R is a discrete subset of $\mathcal{V}$.*

Proof. If $R^0 = \{0\}$, then $R = \dot{R}$ and so R is a discrete subset of $\mathcal{V}$. Now let $R^0 \neq \{0\}$ and consider a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for $\langle R^0 \rangle$. Using (4.6) together with Lemma 4.7, we have $R \subseteq \oplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\delta_j \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgements

The result of this work forms a part of the author's Ph.D. thesis at the University of Isfahan. The author thanks her supervisor, Professor Saeid Azam, for his continuous guidance and encouragement. The author also would like to thank Professor Erhard Neher for some helpful discussion during the time when she was a visiting researcher at the University of Ottawa. She wishes to thank the referee of the paper for his/her invaluable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [AABGP] B. Allison, S. Azam, S. Berman, Y. Gao and A. Pianzola, *Extended affine Lie algebras and their root systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **603** (1997), 1–122.
- [ABG] B. Allison, G. Benkart and Y. Gao, *Lie algebras graded by the root systems BC_r , $r \geq 2$* , Mem. Amer. math. Soc. **158** (2002), no. 751, x+158.
- [ABP] B. Allison, S. Berman and A. Pianzola, *Covering Algebras I. Extended affine Lie algebras*, J. Alg. **250** (2002), 458–516.
- [AG] B. Allison and Y. Gao, *The root system and the core of an extended affine Lie algebra*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **7** (2001), no. 2, 149–212.
- [AY] B. N. Allison and Y. Yoshii, *Structurable tori and extended affine Lie algebras of type BC_1* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **184(2-3)**, (2003), 105–138.
- [Az1] S. Azam, *Construction of extended affine Lie algebras by the twisting process*, Comm. Alg. **28** (2000), 2753–2781.
- [Az2] S. Azam, *Extended affine root systems*, J. Lie Theory. **12** (2002), 515–527.
- [AKY] S. Azam, V. Khalili and M. Yousofzadeh, *Extended affine root systems of type BC* , J. Lie Theory **15** (2005), no. 1, 145–181.
- [Be] G. Benkart, *Derivations and invariant forms of Lie algebras graded by finite root systems*, Canad. J. Math. **50** (1998), no. 2, 225–241.
- [BZ] G. Benkart and E. Zelmanov, *Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and intersection matrix algebras*, Invent. Math. **126** (1996), no. 1, 1–45.
- [BGK] S. Berman, Y. Gao and Y. Krylyuk, *Quantum tori and the structure of elliptic quasi-simple Lie algebras*, J. Funct. Anal **135** (1996), 339–386.
- [BGKN] S. Berman, Y. Gao, Y. Krylyuk and E. Neher, *The alternative torus and the structure of elliptic quasi-simple Lie algebras of type A_2* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc **347** (1995), 4315–4363.
- [BM] S. Berman and R. V. Moody, *Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and the intersection matrix algebras of Slodowy*, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), no. 2, 323–347.
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groups et algebras de Lie*, Paris: Hermann, 1968. chs. 4,5,6.
- [H] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer verlag, New york, 1972.

- [H-KT] R. Høegh-Krohn and B. Torresani, *Classification and construction of quasi-simple Lie algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **89** (1990), 106–136.
- [MP] R. V. Moody and A. Pianzola, *Lie algebras with triangular decomposition*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1995.
- [N1] E. Neher, *Extended affine Lie algebras*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **26** (2004), no. 3, 90–96.
- [N2] E. Neher, *Lie tori*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **26** (2004), no. 3, 84–89.
- [Sa] K. Saito, *Extended affine root systems I (Coxeter transformations)*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **21** (1985), no. 1, 75–179.
- [SaY] K. Saito and D. Yoshii, *Extended affine root systems IV (Simply-laced Elliptic Lie Algebras)*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **36** (2000), no. 3, 385–421.
- [Se] G. B. Seligman, *Rational methods in Lie algebras*, M. Dekker Lect. Notes in pure and appl. math. **17**, New York, 1976.
- [W] K. S. Williams, *Note on extensions of the rationals by square roots*, Delta (Waukesha) **3** (1972/73), no. 3, 11–15.
- [Ya1] H. Yamada, *Extended affine Lie algebras and their Vertex representations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **25** (1989), no. 4, 587–603.
- [Ya2] H. Yamane, *A Serre-type theorem for the Elliptic Lie algebras with rank ≥ 2* , Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **40** (2004), no. 2, 441–469.
- [Yo1] Y. Yoshii, *Coordinate algebras of extended affine Lie algebras of type A_1* , J. Algebra **234** (2000), no. 1, 128–168.
- [Yo2] Y. Yoshii, *Root systems extended by an abelian group, their Lie algebras and the classification of Lie algebras of type B*, J. Lie theory **14** (2004), 371–394.
- [Yo3] Y. Yoshii, *Lie tori, simple characterization of extended affine Lie algebras*, preprint.

Department of Mathematics
 University of Isfahan
 P.O. Box 81745
 Isfahan
 Iran